

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

<b>WSOU INVESTMENTS, LLC D/B/A BRAZOS LICENSING AND DEVELOPMENT,</b> <i>Plaintiff,</i> <b>v.</b>  <b>HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES USA INC. ET AL.,</b> <i>Defendants.</i>	§ § § § § § § § § §	<b>CIVIL ACTION No. 6:20-CV-889-ADA CIVIL ACTION No. 6:20-CV-891-ADA CIVIL ACTION No. 6:20-CV-892-ADA CIVIL ACTION No. 6:20-CV-893-ADA CIVIL ACTION No. 6:20-CV-916-ADA CIVIL ACTION No. 6:20-CV-917-ADA</b>
---	--	--

**JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT**

**TO THE HONORABLE COURT:**

Pursuant to the scheduling orders in these cases, the Parties jointly submit this claim construction statement.

**A. -889, -891, and -892 Cases**

<b>-889 Case, United States Patent No. 6,704,304 (Disputed)</b>		
<b>Claim Term/Phrase</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Construction</b>	<b>Defendant's Construction</b>
“means for determining whether a call should be routed over said PSTN or said core packet network” (claim 3)  [Proposed by Both]	<b>Subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6.</b>  <b>Agreed Function:</b> “determining whether a call should be routed over said PSTN or said core packet network”	
	<b>Structure:</b> programming to perform the algorithm disclosed at 1:55-62, 4:1-10, 4:19-25, and Figure 2 (blocks 203 and 205), and equivalents thereof	<b>Structure:</b> server system (13), as depicted in Figure 1, programmed to perform the algorithm disclosed at 1:55-62, 4:1-10, 4:19-25, and Figure 2 (blocks 203 and 205), and equivalents thereof.

<b>-891 Case, United States Patent No. 7,406,260 (Disputed)</b>		
<b>Claim Term/Phrase</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Construction</b>	<b>Defendant's Construction</b>
“masking alarms in the OCh paths in transmit direction” (Claim 1) / “masking alarms in the OCh paths in receive direction” (Claim 1) [Proposed by Defendants]	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite
“wherein the step of analyzing alarms comprises the steps of:” (Claim 1) [Proposed by Defendants]	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite
“masking alarms in the downstream OCh path in the transmit direction that are correlated with each alarm in the list” (Claim 1) [Proposed by Defendants]	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite

**-892 Case, United States Patent No. 7,460,658 (No Disputes)**

Neither party asks the Court to construe terms in the -892 Case.

**B. -893, -916, and -917 Cases**

**-893 Case, United States Patent No. 7,933,211 (No Disputes)**

Neither party asks the Court to construe terms in the -893 Case.

**-916 Case, United States Patent No. 7,406,074 (No Disputes)**

Neither party asks the Court to construe terms in the -916 Case.

<b>-917 Case, United States Patent No. 7,423,962 (Disputed)</b>		
<b>Claim Term/Phrase</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Construction</b>	<b>Defendant's Construction</b>
<p>“load allocation alternative[s]” (claims 1, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 18, 22, 23, 24, 29, 33, 34, 35, 36, 40, 44, 45, 47)”</p> <p>[Proposed by Plaintiff]</p>	<p>directed logical node pair, which indicates the active and standby logical node</p>	<p>Plain and ordinary meaning</p>
<p>“maintenance means for maintaining logical nodes at least in first and second parallel physical cluster nodes capable of transmitting data” (claim 29)</p> <p>[Proposed by Both]</p>	<p>Subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6</p> <p><b>Agreed Function:</b> maintaining logical nodes at least in first and second parallel physical cluster nodes capable of transmitting data</p>	
	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element (4:56-61) implementing the algorithm of 5:9-29, and equivalents thereof</p>	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element implementing the algorithms of Fig. 2 and 5:9-29, and equivalents thereof</p>
<p>“execution means for changing, when a cluster node malfunctions, the load allocation of the logical nodes of the load allocation alternatives, the active logical nodes of which reside in the faulty cluster node, by changing the logical nodes from standby to active and the active nodes to standby” (claim 29)</p> <p>[Proposed by Both]</p>	<p>Subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6</p> <p><b>Agreed Function:</b> changing, when a cluster node malfunctions, the load allocation of the logical nodes of the load allocation alternatives, the active logical nodes of which reside in the faulty cluster node, by changing the logical nodes from standby to active and the active nodes to standby</p>	
	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element (4:56-61), and equivalents thereof, and to extent an algorithm necessary, the algorithm at 5:62-6:3.</p>	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> None disclosed</p> <p>Indefinite for failure to disclose corresponding structure</p>

<b>-917 Case, United States Patent No. 7,423,962 (Disputed)</b>		
<b>Claim Term/Phrase</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Construction</b>	<b>Defendant's Construction</b>
	Not indefinite.	
<p>“defining means for defining an individual external routine address for each load allocation alternative, on the basis of which data is transmitted to the network element” (claim 29)</p> <p>[Proposed by Both]</p>	<p>Subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6</p> <p><b>Agreed Function:</b> defining an individual external routine address for each load allocation alternative, on the basis of which data is transmitted to the network element</p>	
	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element (4:56-61) implementing the algorithm of 6:4-12, and equivalents thereof</p>	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element implementing the algorithms of 6:4-12, and equivalents thereof</p>
<p>“load allocation means for distributing the traffic in the apparatus on the basis of a specific load allocation plan between the cluster nodes that comprise logical nodes” (claim 32)</p> <p>[Proposed by Both]</p>	<p>Subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6</p> <p><b>Agreed Function:</b> distributing the traffic in the apparatus on the basis of a specific load allocation plan between the cluster nodes that comprise logical nodes</p>	
	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element (4:56-61), and equivalents thereof, and to the extent an algorithm is necessary, the algorithm of 6:13-18</p> <p>Not indefinite.</p>	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> None disclosed</p> <p>Indefinite for failure to disclose corresponding structure</p>
<p>“said maintenance means are also configured to maintain information on a primary and a secondary cluster node associated with the load allocation alternative” (claim</p>	<p>Subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6</p> <p><b>Agreed Function:</b> maintaining information on a primary and a secondary cluster node associated with the load allocation alternative</p>	

<b>-917 Case, United States Patent No. 7,423,962 (Disputed)</b>		
<b>Claim Term/Phrase</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Construction</b>	<b>Defendant's Construction</b>
33)  [Proposed by Both]	<b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element (4:56-61) implementing the algorithm of 6:35-44, and equivalents thereof	<b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element implementing the algorithms of 6:35-44, and equivalents thereof
“changing means for changing load allocation in such a manner that after the switchover of a load allocation alternative, data is transmitted through a physical interface of the backup cluster node to the redundancy unit of the cluster node” (claim 34)  [Proposed by Both]	Subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6  <b>Agreed Function:</b> changing load allocation in such a manner that after the switchover of a load allocation alternative, data is transmitted through a physical interface of the backup cluster node to the redundancy unit of the cluster node	
	<b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element (4:56-61) implementing the algorithm of 11:64-12:15, and equivalents thereof	<b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element implementing the algorithms of Figs. 3 and 4, 6:35-7:25, and 7:50-8:16, and equivalents thereof
“switching means for transmitting data by using a routing address defined for the load allocation alternative even after a switchover of the load allocation alternative” (claim 35)  [Proposed by Both]	Subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6  <b>Agreed Function:</b> transmitting data by using a routing address defined for the load allocation alternative even after a switchover of the load allocation alternative	
	<b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element (4:56-61), and equivalents thereof, and to the extent an algorithm is necessary, the algorithm of 10:7-12	<b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element implementing the algorithms of 12:24-61, and equivalents thereof

<b>-917 Case, United States Patent No. 7,423,962 (Disputed)</b>		
<b>Claim Term/Phrase</b>	<b>Plaintiff's Construction</b>	<b>Defendant's Construction</b>
<p>“performing means for performing a switchover of a load allocation alternative inside the network element” (claim 36)</p> <p>[Proposed by Both]</p>	<p>Subject to 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6</p> <p><b>Agreed Function:</b> “performing a switchover of a load allocation alternative inside the network element</p>	
	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> processor and memory of a network element (4:56-61), and equivalents thereof, and to the extent an algorithm is necessary, the algorithm of 10:34-38</p> <p>Not indefinite.</p>	<p><b>Corresponding Structure:</b> None disclosed</p> <p>Indefinite for failure to disclose corresponding structure</p>

DATED: July 21, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Ryan S. Loveless  
Mark D. Siegmund  
State Bar No. 24117055  
mark@waltfairpllc.com  
**Law Firm of Walt, Fair PLLC.**  
1508 North Valley Mills Drive  
Waco, Texas 76710  
Telephone: (254) 772-6400  
Facsimile: (254) 772-6432

James L. Etheridge  
Texas State Bar No. 24059147  
Ryan S. Loveless  
Texas State Bar No. 24036997  
Travis L. Richins  
Texas State Bar No. 24061296  
Brett A. Mangrum  
Texas State Bar No. 24065671  
Jeffrey Huang  
ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP, PLLC  
2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120 / 324  
Southlake, Texas 76092  
Telephone: (817) 470-7249  
Facsimile: (817) 887-5950  
[Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com](mailto:Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com)  
[Ryan@EtheridgeLaw.com](mailto:Ryan@EtheridgeLaw.com)  
[Travis@EtheridgeLaw.com](mailto:Travis@EtheridgeLaw.com)  
[Brett@EtheridgeLaw.com](mailto:Brett@EtheridgeLaw.com)  
[JeffH@EtheridgeLaw.com](mailto:JeffH@EtheridgeLaw.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiff WSOU Investments, LLC*

DATED: July 21, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jason W. Cook

Jason W. Cook

Texas Bar No. 24028537

Shaun W. Hassett

Texas Bar No. 24074372

**McGuireWoods LLP**

2000 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1400

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 932-6400

[jcook@mcguirewoods.com](mailto:jcook@mcguirewoods.com)

[shassett@mcguirewoods.com](mailto:shassett@mcguirewoods.com)

Tyler T. VanHoutan

Texas Bar No. 24033290

**McGuireWoods LLP 600**

Travis St., Suite 7500

Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 571-9191

[tvanhoutan@mcguirewoods.com](mailto:tvanhoutan@mcguirewoods.com)

Kuan-Chieh Tu

Texas Bar No. 24090443

**McGuireWoods LLP**

Two Embarcadero Center

Suite 1300

San Francisco, CA 94111

Telephone: (415) 490-0918

[gtu@mcguirewoods.com](mailto:gtu@mcguirewoods.com)

J. Mark Mann

Texas Bar No. 12926150

G. Blake Thompson Texas

Bar No. 24042033

**MANN | TINDEL | THOMPSON**

300 West Main Street

Henderson, Texas 75652

Telephone: (903) 657-8540

[mark@themannfirm.com](mailto:mark@themannfirm.com)

[blake@themannfirm.com](mailto:blake@themannfirm.com)

*Counsel for Defendants Huawei Technologies Co.,  
Ltd., and Huawei Technologies USA, Inc.*